

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/842,022	TOMIOKA, SATOSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Kananen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 October 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*That applied*

Claims discussed:  
 11-25


Prior art documents discussed:  
*That applied*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Kananen agreed to insert the aspect of the curvature of the substrate being  $.03 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  or less into claim 11 and thus bring claims 11-25 into the same general scope as claims 1-10, such being allowable over the art for reasons generally advanced by applicant. Claim 22, which contained the recitation, was cancelled--see attached Examiner's amendment..